

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 10/807,361 | 03/22/2004 | Helen A. Cunningham | SUNMP242 | 3944 |
| 32291 7 | 590 02/14/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP | | | WALLING, MEAGAN S | |
| 710 LAKEWA | Y DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 200 | CA 04005 | | | 1111 211 1101112211 |
| SUNNYVALE | 2, CA 94083 | | 2863 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 11.6 |
|--|---|---|-----------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/807,361 | CUNNINGHAM, HELE | N A. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Meagan S. Walling | 2863 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addres | s |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . I reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 | 0 January 2006. | | |
| • | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und | | | rits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3 and 17-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 13-16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers | drawn from consideration. | | |
| | -! | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | rre: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. Hents have been received in A Priority documents have been Freau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National Stag | је |
| Attachment(s) | 4) Tatoniow | Summany (PTO 413) | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 · |) |

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1 and 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feintuch et al. (US 2005/0058021).

Regarding claim 1, Feintuch et al. teaches transmitting an identifiable acoustic signal by a transmitter device defined on a source, the source being placed within an acoustic mentoring area (par 33); receiving the acoustic signal from the transmitting device defined on the source by at least two sensors (par 55); processing a received acoustic signal, the processing using data from at least two sensors (par 36); identifying an approximate localized point in the acoustic monitoring area, the approximate localized point defining a physical location of the source (par 36); and reporting the physical location of the source over a network (par 65).

Regarding claim 4, Feintuch et al. teaches that each sensor of the at least two sensors is a microphone (par 33).

Regarding claim 5, Feintuch et al. teaches that the operation of processing the received acoustic signal is an arrival-time correlation process, distributed sensor/time of flight process, or an echolocation process (par 57).

Regarding claim 6, Feintuch et al. teaches that the approximate locale of the source is determined by an acoustic signal processor (par 36).

Regarding claim 7, Feintuch et al. teaches that the physical location of the source is reported out-of-band (par 12).

Regarding claim 8, Feintuch et al. teaches that the physical location of the source is reported using wireless technology (par 12).

Regarding claim 9, Feintuch et al. teaches an acoustic environment configured to include the source (par 65); a transmitter device for transmitting streams of acoustic signals, the transmitter device being defined on the source (par 33); at least a pair of compact sensors for detecting and capturing the streams of acoustic signals transmitted by the transmitter device (par 55); and a signal processor for receiving and processing captured streams of acoustic signals so as to ascertain the physical location of the source (par 36).

Regarding claim 10, Feintuch et al. teaches that the physical location is ascertained using an arrival-time correlation process (par 66).

Regarding claim 11, Feintuch et al. teaches a computer console for processing and displaying a location of the source in the acoustic environment (par 11).

Regarding claim 12, Feintuch et al. teaches that the pair of compact sensors is a pair of microphones (par 33).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,361 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if rewritten to overcome claim informalities discussed above.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Please see previous office actions for reasons for allowance

3. Claims 2, 3, and 17-25 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Please see previous office action and applicant's response for reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/20/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made above.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,361 Page 5

Art Unit: 2863

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S. Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

BRYAN BUI
PRIMARY EXAMINER